Hydrophobic functionalization of HY zeolites for efficient conversion of glycerol to solketal
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

Production of solketal by glycerol acetalization is a promising pathway to add values to glycerol. Our evidence indicated that, initially, the reaction was limited by poor interfacial mass transfer. Acetone slowly diffused and solubilized in the glycerol phase, where it reached the catalyst’s active sites to form solketal. We describe a strategy that overcomes this interfacial mass transfer limitation by grafting an organosilane surfactant (n-octadecyltrichlorosilane (OTS)) onto the surface of an HY catalyst. The OTS-grafted HY catalyst became hydrophobic and assisted in emulsion formation between the two immiscible reactants, minimizing the interfacial mass transfer limitation. As a result, the OTS-HY catalyst produced high catalytic activity (89% conversion) compared with that of HY (28% conversion) at 30 °C after 60 min. The high catalytic activity of organosilane-modified HY catalyst at low temperature makes it a promising candidate for other acid-catalysed two-phase reactions.

1. Introduction

The petroleum and animal farming industries are the leading contributors to greenhouse gases, including carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}), methane, and nitrous oxide [1,2]. Carbon dioxide emissions account for 65% of global greenhouse gases, causing a global temperature rise and climate change and negatively affecting agricultural/forest production, livestock farming, and our standard of living [3–5]. In 2014, CO\textsubscript{2} emissions were estimated at ~34.1 gigatonnes [6], and this quantity is projected to rise [7]. CO\textsubscript{2} emissions stem from fossil fuel processing and methane release from shale oil extraction and natural gas development [8]. Production of biofuels and bioproducts from renewable plant biomass can reduce CO\textsubscript{2} emissions, mitigating global warming and climate change.

Glycerol is a by-product of processing vegetable oils to produce biodiesel. In 2017, the biodiesel production volume was ~ 1.6 billion gallons, and production is projected to reach 4 billion gallons by 2022 [9]. Biodiesel production generates ~10 wt.% glycerol [10]. As our society slowly moves to a sustainable future, the ability to convert glycerol to high-value products will accelerate its commercial use and the fight against global warming.

Glycerol can be upgraded to valuable compounds such as propandiol, acrolein, glycerol carbonate, glyceric acid, tartronic acid, syngas, and solketal [11–19], thereby providing opportunities for additional revenue for the biodiesel industry and the agricultural sector. Glycerol acetalization is catalyzed by acid sites and produces cyclic acetals with 5- and 6-membered rings (4-hydroxymethyl-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane (solketal) and 5-hydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane (acetal)) (Fig. 1). Solketal is of particular interest because (1) it is a 100% bio-based chemical, produced from glycerol conversion with acetone. Acetone can be derived from hemicellulose from bio refineries [20]; (2) the reaction operates under mild conditions [21–23]; and (3)
solvent can be used in many applications including fuel additives [24,25], solvents in paint and ink industries [26–28], cleaning products [29], additives for the pharmaceutical industry [30,31], and co-initiators for polymerization [29,32].

Although homogeneous acid catalytic systems work for glycerol acetalization, their use is complicated by the need to separate catalysts from products. Thus, heterogeneous zeolite catalysts have been popular choices for glycerol acetalization [33,34]. However, the low glycerol solubility in acetone (5 wt.% or ~0.03 glycerol/acetone molar ratio) causes the two reactants to be immiscible, presenting a mass transfer limitation. Immiscibility greatly limits the joint contact between the two reactants and catalysts’ active sites, and, therefore, impairs catalysis [25–27]. Mass transfer limitation is unavoidable in multiphase reactions, including glycerol acetalization, but it can be minimized [38,39].

The objective of this study was to develop a multifunctional, solid acid catalyst modified with a surfactant to improve the contact between glycerol and acetone. We hypothesized that grafting an organosilane surfactant onto a solid HY zeolite catalyst would generate interface-active materials that would create an emulsion in which catalysis would occur. The emulsion would enhance the interfacial mass transfer by increasing the contact surface between the two immiscible reactants.

We tested the foregoing conjecture by grafting an organosilane surfactant, n-octadecyltrichlorosilane (OTS), onto an HY zeolite catalyst and evaluated the performance of this OTS-grafted HY (OTS-HY) at 30 °C. We selected OTS because it has a long alkyl chain to provide substantial hydrophobicity. Moreover, we selected HY zeolite (Si/Al = 2.6) as our catalyst because (1) it is widely used in the chemical industry, (2) it has a large pore dimension (7.3 nm), and (3) it has a low Si/Al ratio, providing a high acidity (578 μmol NH₃/g catalyst) [40] for glycerol acetalization. We found that the OTS-HY catalyst produced a higher glycerol conversion than did the HY catalyst. The OTS-HY catalyst enabled emulsion formation, increasing contact between the two reactants. This catalytic system addresses the fundamental limitation of the low contact between a catalyst’s active sites and the two immiscible reactants in glycerol acetalization.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Functionalization of zeolites with surfactant

HY zeolite (CBV600, Si/Al ratio = 2.6) was obtained from Zeolyst® International (Conshohocken, PA, USA). We chose this HY zeolite with 2.6 Si/Al ratio because it has a high acid site density with the hydrophilic characteristic, enabling us to observe (1) the negative effect of water formation on catalytic activity and (2) the benefit of adding surface hydrophobicity. The as-received HY zeolite was calcined at 500 °C for 1 h to remove residual impurities before use. The surface functionalization of the zeolite was performed as described [41]. In short, 1 g of zeolite was dispersed in 20 mL of toluene in a capped 250 mL flask using a sonicator at room temperature for 1 h. The organosilane reagent (n-octadecyltrichlorosilane (OTS), 95%, Alfa Aesar) at an organosilane/zeolite ratio of 0.5 mmol/g zeolite (theoretical OTS loading on zeolite) was mixed with 50 mL toluene at room temperature. The hydrolyzable Cl ions of the OTS underwent hydrolysis and formed a stable condensation with silanol groups (-Si-OH) on the surface of HY. The organofunctional group (octadecyl-) is a nonhydrolyzable organic radical and adds chemical characteristics. The organosilane solution was added to the zeolite suspension, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 24 h at 500 rpm at room temperature. The surface-modified zeolite was then filtered and washed with ethanol five times and vacuum dried at 80 °C overnight. This functionalized zeolite was named OTS-HY.

2.2. Characterization of catalytic materials

The degree of hydrophobicity of zeolites was determined by the contact angle measurement using water droplets. Zeolite samples were compressed into a 1 cm disc (OD) with a thickness of 2 mm. A 1 μL water droplet was placed on the external surface of the disc using Optical contact angle measurement and drop contour analysis (OCA15, DataPhysics Instruments USA Corp., Charlotte, NC, USA). Infrared spectra of the zeolites were recorded on a JASCO Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (Easton, MD, USA), equipped with an attenuated total reflection stage (ATR). High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) was performed on catalysts using a 200 kV-operated field emission gun FEI Tecnai F20 transmission electron microscope. Low-intensity illumination conditions were used to minimize the amorphization of zeolites.

To confirm the changes in surface functionality after grafting OTS onto HY catalysts, the diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transformation spectroscopy (DRIFT) was performed using the JASCO FTIR equipped with high-temperature DiffuseRe™ cell (PIKE Technology, WI, USA). The sample treatment and temperature program were described elsewhere with a slight modification [41]. In short, all experiments were performed after heating 5 mg catalyst sample in situ up to 230 °C under a flow of N₂ (20 mL/min) with a heating rate of 10 °C/min. Then the temperature was maintained at 230 °C for 30 min. A background spectrum was recorded prior to each run and the 512 scans of spectra were collected in the range between 4000–1000 cm⁻¹ at a 4 cm⁻¹ resolution.

The surface area and pore volume of zeolites were measured using N₂ adsorption/desorption by a Tristar Micromeritics (Norcross, GA, USA) instrument. Prior to the measurement, the samples were pretreated at 160 °C for 2 h using a Micromeritics FlowPrep with sample degasser (Norcross, GA, USA). The surface area, S BET, was determined by N₂ isotherms using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller equation (BET) on the basis of overall mass of the catalysts. The desorption cumulative pore volume was estimated according to the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) model. The moisture and organic compounds on the catalysts were determined by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) using a SDT Q600 TA instrument (New Castle, DE, USA). In short, ~20 mg of the sample was placed in a cylindrical alumina crucible and heated in the air from room temperature to 500 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C/min under N₂ flow (100 mL/min). The moisture content of catalyst was calculated from the weight loss below 150 °C. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was performed on a Bruker D8 Discover diffractometer (Bellerica, MA, USA) using CuKα radiation and 2θ ranging from 10° to 60° with 0.2 s/step [41]. This 2θ range revealed the diffraction intensity of (220), (311), (331), (511), (440), (533), (642), and (555), respectively [42–44]. The suspension behavior of OTS-HY and HY catalysts was investigated by placing catalysts in the glycerol-acetone system (1/1 v/v). Videos 1 and 2 show the catalyst behavior in both phases (see Supplementary information).

The total acidity of zeolites was determined by ammonia-temperature programmed desorption (NH₃-TPD). The NH₃-TPD experiments were performed using a Micromeritics ChemiSorb 2720 instrument equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) (Norcross, GA, USA). The samples were dried in a vacuum oven overnight prior to NH₃-TPD. About 20–40 mg of sample was pretreated at 250 °C for 1 h under flowing He gas to remove adsorbed water. The sample was then cooled to 100 °C and saturated with ammonia (10% NH₃/He). Next, the samples were flushed with 40 mL/min He flow at 100 °C for 1 h to
remove physically adsorbed ammonia. TPD profiles were recorded by heating the samples to 700 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min in 40 mL/min He flow. In the case of OTS-HY catalysts, we ran the TPD experiment without pre-adsorption of NH3 to evaluate the OTS decomposition temperature ranges and its contribution during the NH3-TPD. To determine the acid site density of OTS-HY catalyst, we subtracted the OTS decomposition peak area from the NH3-TPD peak area.

2.3. Study of glycerol acetalization

Reactions were performed in 15 mL glass pressure vials in an oil bath. Typically, glycerol, acetone, and catalysts were added to the pressure vial which was sealed and stirred at the desired temperature. We ran this reaction for different times (10–60 min), at different temperatures (30 and 50 °C), with different catalyst loading (5 and 15 wt.%), and a fixed glycerol/acetone molar ratio of 1/12 (12 wt.% glycerol), unless otherwise noted. The OTS-HY catalyst was loaded at an amount that provided a number of active sites comparable to that of HY. Dodecanes were used as an internal standard. The glycerol conversion and product yield were calculated based on the internal standard. The reaction was stopped by quenching in a cold-water bath followed by adding ethanol (2 mL ethanol per 0.25 g glycerol) to dissolve the remaining glycerol and acetone. The solution was centrifuged, and the solid catalyst was removed. The liquid sample was then diluted with ethanol prior to analysis.

The reactants and products were analyzed using a gas chromatograph (7890B GC) (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with a mass spectrometer and flame ionization detector (FID) for product identification and quantification, respectively. A DB-1701 column (30 m x0.25 mm x0.25 μm, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used for product separation with the following parameters: injection temperature 275 °C and FID detector temperature 300 °C; split ratio 1:50. The temperature program started at 50 °C with a heating rate of 8 °C/min to 200 °C. The glycerol conversion, product yield, and product selectivity were calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Glycerol conversion (%) \, = \frac{\text{mole of glycerol reacted}}{\text{Initial mole of glycerol}} \times 100}
\]

\[
\text{Product yield (%) \, = \frac{\text{mole of product generated}}{\text{initial mole of glycerol}} \times 100}
\]

\[
\text{Product selectivity (%) \, = \frac{\text{Product yield}}{\text{Glycerol conversion}} \times 100}
\]

3. Results and discussion

We first confirmed the successful grafting of the OTS surfactant onto the HY catalyst and characterized changes in the surface properties. These analyses were performed with Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA), High-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and N2-adsorption/desorption. Then we evaluated the effect of OTS grafting onto the HY catalyst in the glycerol acetalization reaction with various conditions.

3.1. Characterization of the organosilane–grafted HY zeolites

The FTIR and DRIFT spectra of the OTS-HY catalyst confirmed the successful grafting of OTS onto the HY catalyst. The skeletal FTIR spectra of the unmodified HY catalyst presented an asymmetric stretching of the T–O–T bridges at 1056 and 1198 cm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 2B), where T is tetrahedrally coordinated Si or Al atoms [45,46]. Upon OTS grafting onto HY catalyst, the characteristic T–O–T band at 1056 cm\(^{-1}\) shifted to 1050 cm\(^{-1}\) because of the formation of the Si-O bond on the tetrahedral T–O–T. We observed a similar down-shift of the zeolite’s characteristic T–O–T band in the silane grafted SAPO-34 zeolites, suggesting the formation of linkages between silane and zeolites [47]. We observed bands at 2919 and 2851 cm\(^{-1}\), associated with the CH symmetric (ω(CH)) and asymmetric (ω(CH)) stretching vibrations for CH\(_2\) groups of the OTS, respectively (Fig. S1A). The band at 1198 cm\(^{-1}\) was due to the formation of Si-O-Si linkages between OTS and HY catalyst [48,49]. We did not observe the characteristic band of the self-condensed OTS product at 1014 cm\(^{-1}\), suggesting that its formation was negligible (see Supplementary information, Fig. S1B).

We further confirmed the successful OTS grafting by DRIFT and observed changes in the OH vibrational region (3500–3800 cm\(^{-1}\) ) of HY and OTS-HY catalysts. We detected a significant decrease in band intensity at 3740 cm\(^{-1}\) after OTS grafting onto HY catalysts (Fig. 2C). Whereas the two bands at 3627 and 3562 cm\(^{-1}\) remained relatively unchanged. The band at 3740 cm\(^{-1}\) was attributed to the free silanol groups on the external surface of HY catalysts [50]. So the decrease in this band intensity indicated a reduction in density of silanol groups after OTS grafting. The bands at 3627 and 3562 cm\(^{-1}\) were attributed to the structural hydroxyl groups, the high-frequency (HF) OH groups at the supercages and low frequency (LF) at the sodalite cages, respectively [51]. These OH groups are responsible for the Brønsted acidity of the zeolites [52,53]. After OTS grafting, intensities of these bands remained relatively unchanged, suggesting that grafted OTS did not block the active sites. Consistent with our results, Zapata et al. also observed negligible changes in intensities of these OH stretching bands after silylation of HY catalysts [41]. The retention of the active sites in OTS-HY catalysts was helpful for the acetalization reaction. Hence, alterations of FTIR bands in the fingerprint region and the reduction of the silanol density observed by DRIFT confirmed the formation of linkages between OTS and HY catalysts.

We used TGA to assess the stability of the OTS-HY and quantify the amount of OTS grafted onto the HY (Fig. 2A). Below 150 °C, HY incurred an initial weight loss (~5%) that was attributed to breaking hydrogen-bond networks and desorption of water. OTS-HY’s weight loss was lower (~2%). The sharper decrease in weight of HY indicated higher water adsorption (~5%) compared with that of OTS-HY (~2%). These results suggested that OTS-HY catalyst was more hydrophobic than HY catalyst because the surface of HY catalyst consists of portions of free silanols (-SiOH) that adsorb water molecules [54–56]. The weight loss of the OTS-HY occurred in three steps: (1) ambient to 150 °C, (2) 150–270 °C and (3) 400–500 °C. The weight losses in the first and second steps were from the evaporation of moisture and residue organic solvent during synthesis, respectively. The total weight loss for OTS-HY was higher than that of HY, which we attributed to the slow decomposition of OTS at a higher temperature (~400 °C). Zapata et al. reported OTS decomposition from OTS-functionalized HY in the range of 350–600 °C [59], corroborating our OTS decomposition findings. We estimated that the amount of grafted OTS on HY was ~16% (w/w).

The crystallinity of HY was preserved after grafting with OTS. The HRTEM image (Fig. 3A & D) of OTS-HY catalyst illustrated (1) the cubic crystalline structure of the FAU zeolites [43,57], and (2) an unchanged crystalline structure after grafting OTS. Likewise, the XRD spectrum of OTS-HY catalyst confirmed the presence of a highly crystalline HY zeolite [58] (Fig. 4). The HRTEM and XRD results suggested a negligible loss of crystallinity after grafting OTS onto HY.

To evaluate how grafting OTS onto HY affected the catalyst’s acid sites, we measured changes in surface area, pore-volume, and acidity by N\(_2\)-adsorption/desorption and NH\(_3\)-TPD. The N\(_2\) adsorption/desorption isotherms of HY and OTS-HY catalysts exhibited the type IV isotherm [43], indicating that both catalysts were microporous (Fig. S2). The estimated surface area and pore volume of HY zeolite were 513 m\(^2\)/g and 0.36 cm\(^3\)/g (Table 1), consistent with reported values [40]. Previous investigators reported that grafting organosilanes onto zeolites reduced the surface area and pore volume because some portion of the pores was occupied by the organosilanes [41]. In addition, we
speculated that the decrease in the surface area and pore volume of our OTS-HY catalysts might be partly because of the dilution contributed from the grafted OTS. Moreover, our results revealed a slight decrease in the total acidity of OTS-HY catalysts (552 μmol NH₃/g catalyst), measured by NH₃-TPD, compared with that of HY catalyst (578 μmol NH₃/g catalyst), and this behavior is consistent with previous observations [41,59]. The slight change in the total acidity of the OTS-HY catalysts agreed with our DRIFT results, showing comparable skeleton OH groups (i.e., at 3627 and 3562 cm⁻¹) and suggesting the retention of acidity after the OTS grafting. We found that the grafting OTS onto HY took place mostly on the external surface of the HY catalysts, leaving the Bronsted and Lewis acid sites intact.

3.2. Emulsion formation by modified catalysts in two-phase systems

Typically, the HY catalyst contains many free silanols, making it hydrophilic and limiting its suspension in the less polar acetone phase. Thus, we investigated the catalyst suspension behaviour by placing HY and OTS-HY in two liquid systems, (1) water-dodecane, and (2) glycerol-acetone. We chose the water-dodecane system to represent the polar-nonpolar solvent system. In the water-dodecane system, the OTS-HY catalyst was suspended in dodecane, whereas the HY was suspended in water (Fig. S3A & B). These visual observations were consistent with...
the expectation that grafting OTS onto HY would add a hydrophobic layer to the HY surface, enabling it to be suspended in the nonpolar dodecane phase. These results agreed Zapata et al. who showed that, in a water-decan system, a pristine hydrophilic catalyst settled in the water phase [59], whereas an organosilane-grafted catalyst dispersed in the decalin phase.

To determine the degree of hydrophobicity of our OTS-HY catalyst, we measured its contact angle and observed its behaviour in the two-phase system. The OTS-HY had a contact angle of ~110°, suggesting that OTS-HY was hydrophobic [60] (Fig. 3B). The water droplet for HY adsorbed into the disc, i.e., the contact angle was ~0° (Fig. 3E). Our contact angle results agreed with another study by Zapata et al. [41] that showed a high degree of hydrophobicity of OTS-grafted HY catalyst (126-135°). In addition, we conducted the water adsorption experiments using the OTS-HY and HY catalysts in a closed container with 100 mL DI water in a 200 mL beaker and measured the water absorption during 12 h. The pristine HY catalyst had ~3 times higher water adsorption capacity than the OTS-HY catalyst (Fig. S4), an observation that corresponded with the TGA results. These results confirmed the high degree of hydrophobicity of OTS-HY and the hydrophilicity of HY. Nonetheless, these water adsorption results suggested that OTS-HY was not completely hydrophobic because water could still adsorb onto its surface.

The locations of the OTS-HY and HY catalysts in the reaction vessel further confirmed the difference in the catalysts’ surface properties. The glycerol-acetone system was a two-phase system due to low glycerol solubility in acetone. The OTS-HY catalyst was suspended at the interface between glycerol and acetone (Fig. 3C) because, although acetone is a polar solvent, it is less polar than glycerol. Hence, OTS-HY did not disperse in the acetone (Fig. 3F). Conversely, the pristine HY catalyst was suspended only in the glycerol phase because of its abundant silanol groups; the confinement of HY to the glycerol was another indication of its hydrophilicity. Previous work has shown that hydrophobic solid particles (contact angle > 90°) stabilize the formation of water-in-oil emulsions [41,61]. We hypothesized that the high degree of hydrophobicity of OTS-HY catalysts would stabilize an emulsion between glycerol and acetone.

Thus, we investigated emulsion formation by placing the OTS-HY catalyst in the aforesaid same two liquid systems: (1) water-dodecane; and (2) glycerol-acetone. First, we used a non-ionic surfactant, Tween 60, as a control. For the water-dodecane system, we added 1 wt.% Tween 60 and vortexed for one min. The microscopic images, taken after leaving this system for 30 min, showed water droplets dispersed in the dodecane, indicating the formation of a stable emulsion layer (Fig. S5C). Similarly, OTS-HY also stabilized the formation of the emulsion layer in the water-dodecane system (Fig. S5A). These results suggested that the OTS-HY catalyst assisted in the formation of Pickering emulsion in the water-dodecane system [62-65]. In contrast, in the glycerol-acetone system, after adding either Tween 60 or OTS-HY and vortexing for one min, we initially observed the formation of emulsion layer. However, this emulsion layer broke and separated back into two phases after stopping agitation (Fig. S5B & D) (See Video2, Supplementary Information).

The formation of Pickering emulsion has many benefits in two-phase reaction systems in which mass transfer limitation (limited contact between reactants) inhibits reactivity. For example, the use of solid particles, such as OTS-HY, to stabilize the emulsion enables easy breaking of the emulsion and recovery of the two phases. Moreover, this approach improves the contact between the two immiscible reactants without adding surfactants. Although adding surfactants in two-phase reactions can improve the contact between immiscible liquid reactants, adding surfactants complicates the downstream product purification and adds to the carbon footprint of the process [66].

### 3.3. Catalytic activity of OTS-HY catalysts in glycerol acetalization

Next, we demonstrated the benefit of the emulsion formation by OTS-HY in glycerol acetalization under reaction conditions. At 30 °C upon agitation after 10 s, OTS-HY began dispersing in the glycerol (bottom phase) and forming an emulsion (See Video1, Supplementary Information). Conversely, the HY only stayed in the glycerol phase regardless of the reaction time (Fig. S6). An increase in reaction temperature to 50 °C improved the glycerol solubility in acetone and enabled the emulsion formation by OTS-HY after 10 s (Fig. S7). Moreover, a 50 °C reaction temperature caused a larger HY suspension area compared with the area at 30 °C, indicating that increasing reaction temperature increased glycerol solubility in acetone and enabled more contact area between catalyst active sites and the two reactants. After 1 min, HY was well-dispersed in both phases (Fig. S7C). A further increase in reaction temperature to 70 °C enabled the higher glycerol solubility in acetone caused HY to be well-dispersed in both phases after 10 s (Fig. S8). These results suggested that the OTS-HY catalysts itself assisted in emulsion formation, thus eliminating the need for higher reaction temperatures.

The emulsion formation resulted in better contact between reactants with active sites of catalysts, leading to an improvement in the catalyst activity (Fig. 5A & B). We applied OTS-HY catalyst to glycerol acetalization at 30 °C and 12 wt.% glycerol for 600 min (10 h). We arbitrarily chose the 12 wt.% glycerol in acetone to provide excess acetone. We performed similar experiments with HY catalyst as control. Solketal was the main reaction product with a trace amount of 6-MR (Table 2). Glycerol conversion increased with increasing reaction time and leveled off.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Glycerol Conv. (%)</th>
<th>Solketal Selectivity (%)</th>
<th>Acetal Selectivity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OTS-HY</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HY</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Temp. = Temperature; Glycerol conv. = Glycerol conversion.

---

**Table 1**

Surface properties and acidity of HY and OTS-HY catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>S_{BET} (m²/g)</th>
<th>V_{pore} (cm³/g)</th>
<th>V_{micro} (cm³/g)</th>
<th>d_{pore} (Å)</th>
<th>Acidity (μmol NH₃/g catalyst)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HY</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTS-HY</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: S_{BET} = surface area; V_{pore} = pore volume; V_{micro} = micropore volume; d_{pore} = pore diameter.
off at 89% after 60 min for OTS-HY catalyst at 30 °C (Fig. 5C). This plateau suggested that the reaction equilibrium was approached after 60 min. Our equilibrium conversion of 89% over the OTS-HY catalyst at 30 °C was consistent with the previously reported value [67].

In the case of HY catalyst at 30 °C, the reaction conversion reached 89% after 10 h. An increase in reaction temperature to 50 °C yielded the glycerol conversion of 88% after 60 min. These results suggested that using OTS-HY catalysts reached equilibrium faster than HY catalysts at 30 °C. Moreover, glycerol acetalization is an exothermic reaction. We observed the equilibrium conversion decreased slightly with increasing temperature using HY catalysts at 720 min (12 h) (Fig. 5C). This slight decrease in equilibrium conversion (< 2%) at elevated temperature was consistent with previous studies in both flow reactor with ethanol as a solvent and solvent-free batch reactor [67–69]. An increase in reaction temperature from 30 to 50 °C simply improved the reaction rates. We observed the evolution of the phases during the course of the reaction. At 12 wt.% glycerol in acetone, the system was a two-phase system. At 30 °C, the two-phase glycerol-acetone system became one phase after 60 min using OTS-HY catalysts, consistent with the 89% glycerol conversion. In the case of HY catalyst at 30 °C, the reaction system remained two phases even after 60 min, consistent with the 28% glycerol conversion. Consistent with the glycerol conversion results, these findings suggested the faster reaction rate was achieved by OTS-HY catalysts.

An increase in reaction temperature from 30 to 50 °C raised the catalytic activity of HY to nearly that of OTS-HY catalyst at 30 °C (Fig. 5D). One reason for this increased activity of HY was that an increase in temperature increased the glycerol solubility in acetone, enhancing the contact between HY’s active sites and both reactants. Yu et al. reported a similar behaviour for SDS surfactants adsorbed on the surface of multi-wall carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) that enhanced suspension of MWCNTs in aqueous solution [70].

### 3.4. Phase transition in glycerol acetalization due to the formation of solketal

Another important consideration was whether the formation of the product, solketal, affected the transition from two immiscible phases to one single phase during the reaction. We performed a series of experiments to demonstrate the behavior of the reaction mixture at varying reactants (glycerol and acetone) and products (solketal and water) concentration (i.e., simulated glycerol conversion between 0–100%) (Fig. 6). On the existence of the two immiscible phases, a slow mass transfer of acetone to glycerol phase was indicated at the beginning of the reaction because of a small supply of acetone. Upon the emulsion formation, the accumulation of the acetone in the glycerol phase promoted an increase in the overall rate. During the reaction, solketal is formed and accumulated. When the solketal yield exceeded 25%, it behaved as a solubilizing agent to make a homogenous mixture between glycerol and acetone, followed by a rapid conversion of glycerol because the interfacial mass transfer was eliminated.

We further explored how this phase transition affected the interfacial mass transfer limitations in glycerol acetalization over HY catalysts at 30 °C. When we performed the reaction under two-phase system...
Acetalization of glycerol with acetone is an acid-catalyzed reaction. The poor miscibility between glycerol and acetone results in an initial two-phase mixture and presents a considerable interfacial mass transfer limitation between liquid phases and catalyst's active sites. Initially, the poor miscibility of acetone in glycerol phase caused a slow supply of acetone for the formation of solketal. When the solketal content exceeded 25%, it acted as a solubilizing agent and the reaction mixture became homogeneous, followed by a rapid production of solketal. We proposed to overcome this initial interfacial mass transfer limitation by grafting the σ-octadecyltrichlorosilane (OTS) onto an HY catalyst. The modified HY catalyst was hydrophobic and assisted the emulsion formation during agitation. The emulsion formation improved the contact between the ordinarily immiscible reactants and active sites of the OTS-HY catalyst, yielding 89% glycerol conversion, compared with 28% for unmodified HY, at 30 °C. In addition, we revealed that the formation of reaction product, solketal, beyond 25% acted as a solvent to solubilize glycerol and acetone into a single phase. Hydrophobic zeolites of this type are promising for other acid-catalyzed reactions to upgrade by-product glycerol from biodiesel industry, such as esterification, dehydration to acrolein, and etherification with alcohols to produce fuel additives.

4. Conclusion

Acetalization of glycerol with acetone is an acid-catalyzed reaction. (i.e., conversion < 25%, see Fig. 7), the glycerol conversion increased with increasing the catalyst loading only up to 5 wt.%. The higher amount of catalyst loading did not increase the glycerol conversion, suggesting that the reaction was controlled by the mass transfer of the reactant from one phase to the other (i.e., the mass transfer of acetone to the glycerol phase). However, when the reaction proceeded and conversion is > 50%, the glycerol conversion continuously increased with increasing catalyst loading because the interfacial mass transfer limitation was minimized (i.e., the initial two-phase system became homogenous (a single-phase) and the reaction became kinetically-controlled. These findings supported the existence of interfacial mass transfer limitations. Moreover, these results are consistent with the phase change behavior over the course of the reaction time (Fig. 6), affecting the apparent reaction rates.

Previous investigators have proposed the use of solvent (methanol, ethanol, and/or dichloromethane) to improve miscibility of glycerol and acetone, overcoming the mass transfer limitation of the glycerol acetalization [71,72]. Glycerol conversion reached 73% at 40 °C because the reaction rate was increased by both reactants being in the same phase [72]. However, the use of solvents to enhance the miscibility of glycerol and acetone complicates downstream processing because of additional unit operations for product separation/purification. Our hydrophobically modified zeolite catalysts have higher catalytic activity for glycerol acetalization than that of HY catalysts at low temperature because OTS-HY catalysts possessed two key advantages. First, the grafted hydrophobic layers of OTS-HY catalysts form emulsion between two immiscible reactants, minimizing the mass transfer limitation by improving contacts between the two immiscible reactants without added solvents. Second, the OTS-HY catalysts still had the comparable acid site density to that of HY, enabling the glycerol acetalization at the interface of two immiscible reactants and the high catalytic activity of OTS-HY at low temperatures makes it a promising candidate for glycerol acetalization and other acid-catalyzed two-phase reactions. The alkyl chain length of the organosilane surfactant affects the degree of hydrophobicity of the catalysts. We are currently correlating the degree of hydrophobicity of the modified catalyst with its catalytic activity in glycerol acetalization.
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